Lorentz Contracted Proton

D. Bedoya Fierro, N. G. Kelkar and M. Nowakowski

Dept. de Fisica, Universidad de los Andes,

Cra.1E No.18A-10, Santafe de Bogota, Colombia

arXiv:1410.4228v2 [hep-ph] 6 Aug 2015

Abstract

The proton charge and magnetization density distributions can be related to the well known Sachs electromagnetic form factors $G_{E,M}(\boldsymbol{q}^2)$ through Fourier transforms, only in the Breit frame. The Breit frame however moves with relativistic velocities in the Lab and a Lorentz boost must be applied to the form factors before extracting the static properties of the proton from the corresponding densities. Apart from this, the Fourier transform relating the densities and form factors is inherently a non-relativistic expression. We show that the relativistic corrections to it can be obtained by extending the standard Breit equation to higher orders in its $1/c^2$ expansion. We find that the inclusion of the above corrections reduces the size of the proton determined from electron proton scattering data. Indeed the central value of the latest proton radius of $r_p = 0.879$ fm as determined from e-p scattering changes to $r_p = 0.8404$ fm after applying corrections.

I. INTRODUCTION

Measurements of the ground state properties of the most basic element of the constituents of stable matter, namely, the proton, have intrigued physicists since the sixties until now. The structure of the proton in fact plays an important role in atomic physics where experiments have reached very high precision. Finite size effects (FSE) due to the proton structure can be theoretically included using different methods, with one of them being the Breit equation [1–3] which is a typical example of how one derives coordinate potentials from Quantum Field Theory [4–6]. Using such a method where one evaluates the elastic electron-proton amplitude expanded in powers of $1/c^2$, corrections to the energy levels of the hydrogen atom due to the finite size of the proton have been evaluated [7, 8]. The FSE are included through the elastic electromagnetic form factors obtained from electron proton scattering cross sections [9]. The electromagnetic form factors as such are an essential part of the description of the properties of the nucleon as they incorporate the probability for a nucleon to absorb a virtual photon of four momentum squared $q^2(= (q^0)^2 - (\boldsymbol{q})^2)$ and probe its interior. In the non-relativistic limit, the Fourier transforms of the form factors in the Breit frame (defined by $q^0 = 0$) describe the charge distribution $\rho_{\scriptscriptstyle C}(\mathbf{r})$ and magnetization current distribution $\rho_{\scriptscriptstyle M}(\mathbf{r})$ in the nucleon respectively [10, 11]. An experimental determination of the form factors (and hence the proton charge density distribution) from electron proton scattering can thus enable one to determine the charge radius of the proton. On the other hand, the unprecedented precision of the experimental results in the hydrogen atom also allows one to probe the static properties of one of the components of the hydrogen atom, namely, the proton. The size of the proton for example, can be extracted from precise measurements of the difference in the energy levels or Lamb shifts in the hydrogen atom. Such an extraction performed on the muonic hydrogen atom led to the surprising finding that the extracted value of $r_p = 0.84184(67)$ fm was much smaller than the world average CODATA value of 0.8768(69) fm [12]. This so-called "proton puzzle" was later reinforced [13] with the precise value of $r_p = 0.84087(39)$ fm obtained from muonic hydrogen spectroscopy. Apart from some determinations from standard hydrogen atom spectroscopy, the CODATA value largely depends on the extraction of the radius from electron proton scattering experiments. The shrunk proton gave rise to explanations ranging from the charge density being poorly constrained by data [14] to those involving large extra dimensions and non-identical protons

[15].

In an attempt to resolve the discrepancy between the proton radius from muonic hydrogen spectroscopy and electron proton scattering data, we re-examine the connection of the electromagnetic form factors to the nucleon properties. We present a new approach to relate the form factors in momentum space to their coordinate space counter parts (the charge and magnetization densities) through a Fourier transform of the type $\rho_{_C}(\mathbf{r}) = e\int e^{i\boldsymbol{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}}\,\rho_{_C}(\boldsymbol{q}^2)\,d^3q/(2\pi)^3$. The standard non-relativistic expression is obtained when $\rho_{_C}(\boldsymbol{q}^2) = G_E(\boldsymbol{q}^2)$, where $G_E(\boldsymbol{q}^2)$ is the well known Sachs form factor [16]. The relativistic corrections for $\rho_{_C}(\mathbf{r})$ are incorporated by evaluating $\rho_{_C}(\boldsymbol{q}^2)$ in the form $\rho_{_C}(\boldsymbol{q}^2) = G_E(\boldsymbol{q}^2)[1+\text{terms}\frac{1}{c^2}+\text{terms}\frac{1}{c^4}+...]$ using the higher order Breit equation which we derive in this work. Since such a relation is still valid only in the Breit frame (i.e. $q^0=0$), a Lorentz boost must be applied to $\rho_{_C}(\boldsymbol{q}^2)$ before extracting the mean radius from $r_p^2 = \int \rho_{_C}(\mathbf{r})r^2 d\mathbf{r}$. We find that the inclusion of the two effects (Lorentz boost and use of the higher order Breit equation) brings the radius extracted from electron proton scattering quite close to that determined from the muonic hydrogen Lamb shift [13], thus partly resolving the proton radius puzzle.

II. THEORETICAL APPROACH

The relativistic corrections to the non-relativistic $\rho_{_C}(\mathbf{r})$ are obtained by extending the standard Breit equation [7, 8] (which involves an expansion of the amplitude to order $1/\mathrm{c}^2$) [2, 17] to higher orders. The proton electric potential $V_p(\mathbf{r})$ in this equation is used to find the density $\rho_{_C}(\mathbf{r})$ via the Poisson equation, $\nabla^2 V_p = -4\pi\rho_{_C}$. The hyperfine interaction terms in the Breit equation are shown to be related to the magnetization density $\rho_{_M}(\mathbf{r})$. In what follows, we shall see that an interesting outcome of the calculation is that the charge form factor $\rho_{_C}(\boldsymbol{q}^2)$ appearing in the Fourier transform, depends on the magnetic form factor $G_M(\boldsymbol{q}^2)$ and $\rho_{_M}(\boldsymbol{q}^2)$ appearing in the Fourier transform of the magnetization density, $\rho_{_M}(\mathbf{r})$, depends on $G_E(\boldsymbol{q}^2)$.

A. Potentials and densities

In order to make the approach clear let us begin with the standard Breit equation for the Hamiltonian H_B [7] which results from the $1/c^2$ expansion of the elastic electron proton transition matrix element M_{fi}. This amplitude can be written as, $\mathrm{M}_{fi} = w^\dagger_{S'_e}\, w^\dagger_{S'_p}\, \hat{\mathrm{H}}_B(\mathbf{p}_e, \mathbf{p}_p; \boldsymbol{\sigma}_e, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_p; \boldsymbol{q})\, w_{S_e}\, w_{S_p}$, where w_{S_e,S_p} are two component spinors. In the diagonal case, $S'_e = S_e$, $S'_p = S_p$ and we write $\mathrm{M}_{fi} = M_{fi}(\mathbf{p}_e, \mathbf{p}_p; \boldsymbol{\xi}_e, \boldsymbol{\xi}_p; \boldsymbol{q})$, where we used $w^\dagger_S \boldsymbol{\sigma} w_S = \mathrm{Tr}[\rho\boldsymbol{\sigma}] = \boldsymbol{\xi}$ with ρ being the spin density matrix. Let us now rearrange terms from the Breit Hamiltonian H_B such that, $\mathrm{H}_B = eV_p(\boldsymbol{q}) + \mu_e{\cdot}\mathbf{B}(\boldsymbol{q}) + ...$, where, $\mu_e = -(e/2m_e)\boldsymbol{\sigma}_e$. $V_p(\boldsymbol{q})$ is the potential part remaining after separating all the $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i$ operator dependent and differential operator $\mathbf{p}_i$ dependent terms. Here i is either e or p. In addition, we choose $V_p(\boldsymbol{q})$ not to contain the electron mass as the electric proton potential should not depend on the probe. These restrictions allow $V_p(\boldsymbol{q})$ to be interpreted as a proton electric potential in momentum space. For the standard Breit equation at lowest order in $1/c^2$, with form factors, this indeed leads to [8],

$$V_p(\boldsymbol{q}) = 4\pi e\left[F_1\Big(\frac{1}{\boldsymbol{q}^2}\Big) - F_2\Big(\frac{1}{4m_p^2c^2}\Big)\right] = 4\pi e\left[\frac{G_E(\boldsymbol{q}^2)}{\boldsymbol{q}^2}\right]. \tag{1}$$

where e is the positive charge of the proton. The Fourier transform of $V_p(\boldsymbol{q})$ is then the electric potential $V_p(\mathbf{r}) = 4\pi e \int e^{i\boldsymbol{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}}\,(G_E(\boldsymbol{q}^2)/\boldsymbol{q}^2)\,d^3q/(2\pi)^3$. The Laplacian of $V_p(\mathbf{r})$, namely, $\nabla^2 V_p(\mathbf{r}) = -4\pi e \int e^{i\boldsymbol{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}}\,G_E(\boldsymbol{q}^2)\,d^3q/(2\pi)^3$ taken together with $\nabla^2 V_p(\mathbf{r}) = -4\pi\rho_{_C}(\mathbf{r})$ then brings us to the standard definition of the proton charge density $\rho_{_C}(\mathbf{r}) = e\int e^{i\boldsymbol{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}}\,G_E(\boldsymbol{q}^2)\,d^3q/(2\pi)^3$. Applying similar restrictions to the magnetic field in the second term in H_B, i.e., the magnetic field of the proton $B(\boldsymbol{q})$ should not contain any electron mass or operator $\mathbf{p}_i$ dependence, the terms which remain (apart from the Coulomb term) are those corresponding to the hyperfine interaction. The hyperfine interaction potential with form factors [18] is given as,

$$\begin{aligned} V(\boldsymbol{q})_{hfs} &= \alpha\Big[\frac{(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_e\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}_p)}{4m_e\,m_pc^2} - \frac{(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_e\cdot\boldsymbol{q})\cdot(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_p\cdot\boldsymbol{q})}{4m_e\,m_pc^2\boldsymbol{q}^2}\Big]G_M(\boldsymbol{q}^2) \\ &= \mu_e\cdot\mathbf{B}(\boldsymbol{q})\,, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

with $\mu_e = -(e/2m_e)\boldsymbol{\sigma}_e$ as defined earlier. The magnetic field of the proton is thus,

$$\mathbf{B}(\boldsymbol{q}) = e\Big[\frac{\boldsymbol{q}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_p\cdot\boldsymbol{q}) - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_p\boldsymbol{q}^2}{2m_pc^2\boldsymbol{q}^2}\Big]G_M(\boldsymbol{q}^2)\,. \tag{3}$$

Taking the Fourier transform of $\mathbf{B}(\boldsymbol{q})$ and using the static Maxwell equation $\nabla \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}) = 4\pi\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r})$, we can identify

$$\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{e}{4\pi} \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3}\, e^{i\boldsymbol{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \frac{G_M(\boldsymbol{q}^2)\,(i\boldsymbol{q}\times\boldsymbol{\sigma})}{2m_pc^2}\,, \tag{4}$$

thus implying $\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}) \propto \nabla \times \mathbf{M}$ with $\mathbf{M} = \rho_{_M}(\mathbf{r})\boldsymbol{\sigma}_p$ which defines the proton magnetization distribution $\rho_{_M}(\mathbf{r}) = \int e^{i\boldsymbol{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}}\, G_M(\boldsymbol{q}^2)\, d^3q/(2\pi)^3$. In the diagonal case, by the replacement of $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ by the polarization vector $\boldsymbol{\xi}$, we can conclude that polarized protons will have a magnetic field of the form, $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}) \propto (\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla})\boldsymbol{\nabla}\tilde{\rho}_{_M} - \boldsymbol{\xi}\rho_{_M}$, where, $\tilde{\rho}_{_M} = \int e^{i\boldsymbol{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}}\,(G_M(\boldsymbol{q}^2)/\boldsymbol{q}^2)\, d^3q/(2\pi)^3$. All the conclusions drawn above and derived at the lowest order in the relativistic expansion are, of course, valid if we include relativistic corrections.

B. Higher order Breit equation

The procedure to obtain the Breit potential at higher orders using the electron proton scattering amplitude is exactly the same as that described in [7, 8] except that the proton and electron wave functions which were written in [7, 8] using the non-relativistic approximation with corrections up to order $1/c^2$ are now replaced by those containing relativistic corrections up to order $1/c^6$. This is done by using the Foldy Wouthuysen transformation [19], $\Psi_{_{FW}} = U\Psi_{_D}$, where

$$U = \sqrt{\frac{(E+mc^2)}{2E}}\Big(1 + \frac{\beta\boldsymbol{\alpha}\cdot\mathbf{p}c}{E+mc^2}\Big)\,, \tag{5}$$

$H_{_D}\Psi_{_D} = E\Psi_{_D}$, $E = \sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2c^2 + m^2c^4}$, $H_{_{FW}}\Psi_{_{FW}} = \beta E\Psi_{_{FW}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$, β the usual Dirac matrices. It then follows that [20] $\Psi_{_{FW}} = [E(1+\beta)/\sqrt{2E(E+mc^2)}]\Psi_{_D}$, where, $\Psi_{_{FW}}$ contains both the positive and negative energy solutions. The upper and lower components $\Psi^+_{_{FW}}$ and $\Psi^-_{_{FW}}$ of $\Psi_{_{FW}}$ can be shown to be related to the Dirac upper and lower components $\phi_{_D}$ and $\chi_{_D}$ respectively as [20]

$$\Psi^+_{_{FW}} = \sqrt{\frac{2E}{E+mc^2}}\begin{pmatrix}\phi_{_D}\\ 0\end{pmatrix}, \Psi^-_{_{FW}} = \sqrt{\frac{2E}{E+mc^2}}\begin{pmatrix}0\\ \chi_{_D}\end{pmatrix} \tag{6}$$

The relativistic energy E of the particle includes also its rest energy mc^2 which must be excluded in arriving at a non-relativistic approximation. We must therefore replace Ψ (FW or D) by Ψ' defined as $\Psi = \Psi'\, e^{-imc^2t/\hbar}$. This leads to a relation between the upper and

lower components ϕ and χ of Ψ' [17] which is given by,

$$\chi = \frac{1}{2mc}\left[1 + \frac{E_S}{2mc^2}\right]^{-1} \boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\mathbf{p}\,\phi, \tag{7}$$

where E_S is the energy eigenvalue in the Schrödinger equation. Identifying the upper component Ψ'^{+}_{FW} of Ψ_{FW} with the non-relativistic Schrödinger spinor w, we get, $w\sqrt{(E+mc^2)/2E} = \phi$. Finally, expanding $E = (p^2c^2 + m^2c^4)^{1/2}$ and replacing for ϕ in terms of w in χ, we obtain the spinor to be used in the calculation of the amplitude $M_{fi} = e^2(\bar{u}'_e\Gamma^\mu_e u_e)\, D_{\mu\nu}(q^2)\,(\bar{u}'_p\Gamma^\nu_p u_p)$ as

$$u_i = \sqrt{2m_i}\begin{pmatrix} (1 - \frac{p_i^2}{8m_i^2c^2} + \frac{\lambda_1 p_i^4}{m_i^4c^4} + \frac{\lambda_3 p_i^6}{m_i^6c^6})\, w_i \\ (1 - \frac{\lambda_2 p_i^2}{m_i^2c^2} + \frac{\lambda_4 p_i^4}{m_i^4c^4})\frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i\cdot\mathbf{p}_i}{2m_ic}\, w_i \end{pmatrix}, \tag{8}$$

with $i = e, p$ and $\lambda_1 = 11/128$, $\lambda_2 = 3/8$, $\lambda_3 = -69/1024$ and $\lambda_4 = 31/128$. The above spinor should be contrasted with

$$u_i = \sqrt{2m_i}\begin{pmatrix} (1 - \frac{p_i^2}{8m_i^2c^2})\, w_i \\ \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i\cdot\mathbf{p}_i}{2m_ic}\, w_i \end{pmatrix}, \tag{9}$$

used to obtain the standard Breit equation [17]. Using Eq. (8) and the vertices $\Gamma^\nu_p = F_1^p\gamma^\mu + \sigma^{\mu\nu}(q_\nu/2m_pc)F_2^p$ and $\Gamma^\mu_e = \gamma^\mu$, the amplitude M_{fi} and hence the Breit equation with form factors is evaluated just as in [7, 8]. Note that the energy transfer at the vertices is chosen to be zero, i.e., $q^2 = \omega^2/c^2 - \boldsymbol{q}^2$ is replaced by $q^2 = -\boldsymbol{q}^2$. Formally, this is achieved by going to the Breit frame. This is in keeping with the quasistatic approach wherein we are going to relate the proton potential obtained from the Breit equation to the charge density via the Poisson equation. The higher order Breit equation with form factors thus obtained is very lengthy and will be given elsewhere. The present work deals with the parts relevant for obtaining the relativistic corrections to the charge and magnetization densities.

The proton electric potential $\tilde{V}_p(\boldsymbol{q})$ with relativistic corrections is obtained from the higher order Breit equation in the same manner as explained before for the standard Breit equation. Dropping all terms involving the spin and momentum operators as well as those containing the electron mass, what remains in the higher order Breit equation is

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{V}_p(\boldsymbol{q}) \;=\; & 4\pi e\frac{G_E(\boldsymbol{q}^2)}{\boldsymbol{q}^2}\Big\{1 - \frac{\boldsymbol{q}^2}{8m_p^2c^2} + \frac{3}{128}\frac{\boldsymbol{q}^4}{m_p^4c^4} - \frac{13}{1024}\frac{\boldsymbol{q}^6}{m_p^6c^6} \\ & + \frac{G_M(\boldsymbol{q}^2)}{G_E(\boldsymbol{q}^2)}\frac{\boldsymbol{q}^4}{16m_p^4c^4}\Big[1 - \frac{7}{8}\frac{\boldsymbol{q}^2}{m_p^2c^2} + \frac{87}{128}\frac{\boldsymbol{q}^4}{m_p^4c^4}\Big]\Big\}.\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

The above equation can be rewritten as $\tilde{V}_p(\boldsymbol{q}) = 4\pi e\rho_{_C}(\boldsymbol{q}^2)/\boldsymbol{q}^2$, such that the proton electric potential, $\tilde{V}_p(\mathbf{r}) = 4\pi e \int e^{i\boldsymbol{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}}\,(\rho_{_C}(\boldsymbol{q}^2)/\boldsymbol{q}^2)\,d^3q/(2\pi)^3$ and $\nabla^2\tilde{V}_p(\mathbf{r}) = -4\pi e \int e^{i\boldsymbol{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}}\,\rho_{_C}(\boldsymbol{q}^2)\,d^3q/(2\pi)^3 = -4\pi\rho_{_C}(\mathbf{r})$. The magnetic form factor $\rho_{_M}(\boldsymbol{q}^2)$ including corrections is obtained by examining the hyperfine interaction terms as mentioned before, however, in the higher order Breit equation. Noting that the terms of order $1/c^6$ and higher are of decreasing importance and due to the alternating sign in (10), the first four terms in the curly bracket in (10) can be approximated as $[1+(\boldsymbol{q}^2/4m_p^2c^2)]^{-1/2}$. The expressions for $\rho_{_{C,M}}(\boldsymbol{q}^2)$ can thus be summarized in an expansion effectively as,

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{_C}(\boldsymbol{q}^2) &\simeq G_E(\boldsymbol{q}^2)\Big(1+\frac{\boldsymbol{q}^2}{4m_p^2c^2}\Big)^{-1/2} + \frac{G_M(\boldsymbol{q}^2)\boldsymbol{q}^4}{16m_p^4c^4}\Big(1+\frac{a\boldsymbol{q}^2}{4m_p^2c^2}\Big)^{-b} \\
\rho_{_M}(\boldsymbol{q}^2) &\simeq G_M(\boldsymbol{q}^2)\Big(1+\frac{\boldsymbol{q}^2}{4m_p^2c^2}\Big)^{-1/2} - \frac{G_E(\boldsymbol{q}^2)\boldsymbol{q}^2}{4m_p^2c^2}\Big(1+\frac{a\boldsymbol{q}^2}{4m_p^2c^2}\Big)^{-b},
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

with $a = 19/7$ and $b = 49/38$. It is interesting that $\rho_{_C}(\boldsymbol{q}^2)$ and $\rho_{_M}(\boldsymbol{q}^2)$ depend on both the G_E and G_M Sachs form factors and have relativistic corrections of a similar form with the same exponents a and b. Note also that the exponent $-1/2$ in the first terms is approximate (in contrast to the exact $[1+(\boldsymbol{q}^2/4m_p^2c^2)]^{-1/2}$ in [21–24]). At order $1/c^2$, the expression for $\rho_{_C}(\boldsymbol{q}^2) \simeq G_E(\boldsymbol{q}^2)(1-\boldsymbol{q}^2/8m_p^2c^2)$ is independent of G_M as in [21–25], however, the magnetic form factor at order $1/c^2$ reduces to $\rho_{_M}(\boldsymbol{q}^2) \simeq G_M(\boldsymbol{q}^2)(1-\boldsymbol{q}^2/8m_p^2c^2) - G_E(\boldsymbol{q}^2)\boldsymbol{q}^2/4m_p^2c^2$ and contains apart from the Darwin term $\boldsymbol{q}^2/8m_p^2$, a term dependent on G_E.

C. Lorentz boost

Since we chose the energy transfer in the evaluation of the electron - proton scattering amplitude, $\omega = 0$, the above form factors are similar to those usually given in the so-called Breit frame. An additional important relativistic correction arises due to the Lorentz contraction of the spatial distributions in the Breit frame [26]. The latter has been discussed at length in the first reference of [26] where the author proposes the use of the Fourier transform of $G^L_{E,M}(\boldsymbol{q}^2) = G_{E,M}(\boldsymbol{q}^2)[1+(\boldsymbol{q}^2/4m_p^2)]^{\lambda_{E,M}}$, rather than the Fourier transform of $G_{E,M}(\boldsymbol{q}^2)$ in order to determine the density distributions of the nucleon. With $\lambda_{E,M}$ being model dependent constants, they eventually appear as parameters in the determination of the proton radius and other moments. The author in the first reference in [26] fitted the

form factor data to obtain $\lambda_{_E} = \lambda_{_M} = 2$ in agreement with some [27] while in contrast with other predictions [28] of $\lambda_{_E} = 0$ and $\lambda_{_E} = \lambda_{_M} = 1$ based on soliton and cluster models.

III. CORRECTED RADII AND FOURTH MOMENTS

The standard way of defining the n^{th} moment of the charge and magnetization distribution in literature [29] follows from a consideration of the Fourier transforms of the Sachs form factors in the Breit frame. It makes sense to dwell a little bit on the basics of the definition of the second moment, i.e.,

$$< r^2 >= \int r^2 \, \rho(\mathbf{r}) \, d^3r \, . \tag{12}$$

Starting with the Fourier transform of $G(\boldsymbol{q}^2)$, namely, $G(\boldsymbol{q}^2) = \int e^{-i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{r}} \rho(\mathbf{r}) d^3r/(2\pi)^3$ we can readily show that

$$\begin{aligned} G(\boldsymbol{q}^2) \; &= \; \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty r^2 \rho(r) \frac{\sin(|\boldsymbol{q}|r)}{|\boldsymbol{q}|r} \, dr \\ &= \; \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{q}|} \int_0^\infty r\rho(r) \Big[|\boldsymbol{q}|r - \frac{|\boldsymbol{q}|^3 r^3}{6} \, + \, ... \Big] \\ &= \; \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \Big[\int_0^\infty r^2 \rho(r) dr - \frac{\boldsymbol{q}^2}{6} \int_0^\infty r^4 \, \rho(r) dr \, + \, ... \Big] \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

leads to the standard result

$$- \, \frac{6}{G(0)} \frac{dG(\boldsymbol{q}^2)}{d\boldsymbol{q}^2} \Big|_{\boldsymbol{q}^2=0} = \int r^4 \, \rho(r) dr =< r^2 > \, . \tag{14}$$

Eq. (13) is equivalent to writing

$$G(\boldsymbol{q}^2)/G(0) \; = \; 1 \; - \; \frac{1}{6} \, \langle r^2 \rangle \boldsymbol{q}^2 \; + \frac{1}{120} \, \langle r^4 \rangle \, \boldsymbol{q}^4 \; - \; ... \, , \tag{15}$$

where $\langle r^n \rangle$ is the n^{th} moment of the electric or magnetic distribution. Neither (12) nor (13) are relativistic invariants. The form factor G which depends on the four momentum transfer is an invariant and sometimes one finds in the literature the expansion

$$G(q^2) = 1 \, + \, aq^2 \, + \, ... \tag{16}$$

with $q^2 = q_\mu q^\mu$ being the four-momentum transfer. This is then followed by an expression of the first moment proportional to $dG(q^2)/dq^2$ taken at $q^2 = 0$. This in turn might lead to the confusing conclusion that the proton radius is a Lorentz invariant. To resolve the confusion let us first note that we would get the same result by writing $G(\omega = 0, \boldsymbol{q}^2) = 1 - a\boldsymbol{q}^2 + ...$ and

taking the derivative with respect to $\boldsymbol{q}^2$ evaluated at $\boldsymbol{q}^2 = 0$ which agrees with (14) and, of course, (12). Therefore, we would face a paradox here: by using (16) and its derivative with respect to the four momentum squared q^2, it seems like we have found a Lorentz invariant quantity and this is equivalent to a Lorentz non-invariant result (up to the minus sign which is absorbed into the definition). The resolution of the paradox lies in the meaning of the condition, $q^2 = 0$. With $q^2 = \omega^2 - \boldsymbol{q}^2$, it either means that $\omega^2 = \boldsymbol{q}^2 \neq 0$ (in which case we have a real photon) or $\omega = |\boldsymbol{q}| = 0$. It is impossible to exchange a real photon in the t-channel exchange diagram in elastic electron-proton scattering and hence we have to drop the first possibility. The second choice is, however, equivalent to first choosing the frame ($\omega = 0$ implies that we have chosen the Breit frame again) and then $\boldsymbol{q}^2 = 0$ is necessary to extract the Taylor coefficient (the radius). In short, even if $dG(q^2)/dq^2$ is invariant, the condition $q^2 = 0$ makes the radius defined using $dG(q^2)/dq^2$ at $q^2 = 0$, a Lorentz non-invariant quantity (as the condition forces one to choose $\omega = 0$).

If $\rho_{C}(\mathbf{r})$ and $\rho_{M}(\mathbf{r})$ (defined by $\rho_{C}(\mathbf{r}) = e\int e^{i\boldsymbol{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}}\,\rho_{C}(\boldsymbol{q}^2)\,d^3q/(2\pi)^3$ and $\rho_{M}(\mathbf{r}) = \int e^{i\boldsymbol{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}}\,\rho_{M}(\boldsymbol{q}^2)\,d^3q/(2\pi)^3$), receive relativistic corrections as given in (11), so will the corresponding radii. Hence, the proton moments including the relativistic corrections and the Lorentz boost are defined here as:

$$\langle\tilde{r}_{E}^2\rangle^{L} = -\frac{6}{\rho_{C}^{L}(0)}\,\frac{d\rho_{C}^{L}}{d\boldsymbol{q}^2}\Big|_{\boldsymbol{q}^2=0} \tag{17}$$

and

$$\langle\tilde{r}_{E}^4\rangle^{L} = \frac{60}{\rho_{C}^{L}(0)}\,\frac{d^2\rho_{C}^{L}}{d(\boldsymbol{q}^2)^2}\Big|_{\boldsymbol{q}^2=0} \tag{18}$$

(with $\rho_{C}^{L}(\boldsymbol{q}^2) = \rho_{C}(\boldsymbol{q}^2)\,[1 + (\boldsymbol{q}^2/4m_p^2c^2)]^{\lambda_{E}}$) . Replacing from (11) for $\rho_{C}(\mathbf{q}^2)$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\tilde{r}_{E}^2\rangle^{L} &= \langle r_{E}^2\rangle + \frac{3}{4m_p^2c^2}\,(1 - 2\lambda_{E}) \qquad (19)\\
\langle\tilde{r}_{E}^4\rangle^{L} &= \langle r_{E}^4\rangle - \frac{5}{m_p^2c^2}\langle r_{E}^2\rangle\Big(\lambda_{E} - \frac{1}{2}\Big)\\
&+ \frac{15}{4m_p^4c^4}(\lambda_{E}^2 - 2\lambda_{E} + 2\mu_{p}) + \frac{45}{16m_p^4c^4}
\end{aligned}$$

The magnetic radius with relativistic and Lorentz boost corrections is given by,

$$\langle\tilde{r}_{M}^2\rangle^{L} = \langle r_{M}^2\rangle + \frac{3}{4m_p^2c^2}\Big[1 + \frac{2}{\mu_{p}} - 2\lambda_{M}\Big] \tag{20}$$

The relativistic corrections alone (giving $\tilde{r}_{p}$ and $\tilde{r}^4$ in Table I) arising from (11) can be found by setting $\lambda_{E,M} = 0$.



FIG. 1: Comparison of the proton radius values extracted from the muonic hydrogen Lamb shift [13], CODATA values [12] and some recent analyses [14, 29] of ep scattering data. The hydrogen spectroscopy average is from [30].

The effect of the Lorentz boost in general is to reduce the radius and the fourth moment of the proton charge as compared to that obtained from $G_E(\boldsymbol{q}^2)$ in the Breit frame. The relativistic corrections introduced with the use of $\rho_{_C}(\boldsymbol{q}^2)$ obtained from the higher order Breit potential, in general, increase the radius of the proton. However, a fortuitous combination of the two effects, brings the proton radius closer to $r_p = 0.84087(39)$ fm obtained from precise Lamb shift measurements [13]. For a Lorentz boost with $\lambda = 1$ such an agreement is favoured by Fit II in [31] which gives $\tilde{r}_p^L = 0.847$ fm and $\tilde{r}_p^L = 0.839$ fm obtained from the bump-tail parametrization (with parameters from Table II in [32]). Indeed, if we apply the Lorentz boost with $\lambda = 1$ to the central value of the radius $r_p = 0.879$ fm deduced recently by Bernauer et al. [29] we obtain $r_p^L = 0.84043$ fm which is once again close to the muonic hydrogen spectroscopy result [13]. This is demonstrated in Figure 1. The reason for applying only the Lorentz boost and not the entire relativistic corrections is the following: Bernauer et al. include in their analysis, the "Feshbach correction" which as stated above Eq.(20) in [29] is in agreement with the Coulomb correction of Rosenfelder [21] at $\boldsymbol{q}^2 = 0$. This correction of Rosenfelder is similar to the relativistic corrections of the present paper

TABLE I: Corrections to the proton charge radius $r_{_p} = \langle r_{_E}^2 \rangle^{1/2}$ in fm. The fourth moments $r^4 = \langle r_{_E}^4 \rangle$ of the proton charge distribution with corrections (up to order $1/c^2$ and $1/c^4$) are given in the brackets (in fm^4). The first column gives the usual uncorrected values obtained from *ep* scattering, the second column shows the increase in values due to relativistic corrections, the third and fourth the reduction due to Lorentz boost and finally the last two columns display the effects of both corrections together.

	r_p (r^4)	$\tilde{r}_p$ $(\tilde{r}^4)$	r_p^L $(r^4)^L$ $\lambda_{_E}=1$	r_p^L $(r^4)^L$ $\lambda_{_E}=2$	$\tilde{r}_p^L$ $(\tilde{r}^4)^L$ $\lambda_{_E}=1$	$\tilde{r}_p^L$ $(\tilde{r}^4)^L$ $\lambda_{_E}=2$
Dipole [9]	0.811	0.831	0.769	0.725	0.790	0.747
up to $1/c^4$	(1.083)	(1.202)	(0.937)	(0.806)	(1.049)	(0.911)
up to $1/c^2$	(1.083)	(1.156)	(0.937)	(0.792)	(1.010)	(0.864)
[31] Fit I	0.884	0.903	0.846	0.806	0.865	**0.826**
up to $1/c^4$	(1.788)	(1.920)	(1.615)	(1.457)	(1.740)	(1.574)
up to $1/c^2$	(1.788)	(1.875)	(1.615)	(1.442)	(1.702)	(1.529)
[31] Fit II	0.866	0.885	0.827	0.786	**0.847**	0.807
up to $1/c^4$	(1.623)	(1.752)	(1.457)	(1.306)	(1.579)	(1.420)
up to $1/c^2$	(1.623)	(1.706)	(1.457)	(1.291)	(1.540)	(1.374)
[32]	0.858	0.877	0.819	0.777	**0.839**	0.798
up to $1/c^4$	(1.488)	(1.616)	(1.325)	(1.177)	(1.446)	(1.290)
up to $1/c^2$	(1.488)	(1.570)	(1.325)	(1.162)	(1.407)	(1.244)
[29]	0.8795	-	0.8404	0.801	-	-

upto order $1/c^2$ (compare Eq.(7) of [21] with the first term in Eq.(11) of the present work). It would lead to a double counting if we would apply the relativistic correction of our work to the radius of Bernauer et al. and hence we apply only the Lorentz boost. Though we do not show explicitly, similar corrections would also shift the other radii in Fig.1, extracted from *ep* scattering, to lower values. The proton magnetic radius, $r_M = 0.87$ fm [33], with relativistic and Lorentz boost corrections changes to $r_M = 0.865$ fm. Finally, we must emphasize that the proton is characterized fully by all its moments and not just the radius. The corrections in Eqs (11) introduce a significant change in $\langle r^4 \rangle$ too.

Finally, in passing we mention that the proton structure corrections as such are also dependent on the theoretical formalism used to calculate them. We refer the reader to Ref. [7] for a detailed discussion of the proton structure corrections using different formalisms.

IV. SUMMARY

The relations between charge/magnetization densities and the electromagnetic form factors are necessarily of a non-relativistic nature. In other words, relativistic corrections can be computed and the standard relation between the Sachs form factors ($G_E(\boldsymbol{q}^2)$ and $G_M(\boldsymbol{q}^2)$) and the densities is valid only at the lowest order of the non-relativistic expansion. To compute the relativistic corrections in a consistent way we employed the higher order Breit equation in which, for instance, terms independent of the probe, spin and momentum operators should correspond to the proton electric potential in momentum space. Using the Poisson equation, this potential gives us the relativistically modified charge density. A similar procedure can be found for the magnetization density. Both results are valid in the Breit frame. Hence using a Lorentz transformation suggested in the literature, we can bring them to the rest frame of the proton and calculate the modified moments of the proton charge and magnetization densities. An interesting outcome of the manipulations, i.e., including relativistic corrections and the Lorentz transformation is that the proton radius from ep scattering experiments comes closer to the result obtained from muonic hydrogen spectroscopy.

Appendix: Coefficients in the wave function expansion

A free spin 1/2 particle is described by a four component wave function satisfying the Dirac equation. It is however, often desirable to convert this equation to a two component equation of the Pauli type. Methods attempting to do this however encounter difficulties if one wishes to go beyond the lowest order in the v/c expansion. A method proposed by Foldy and Wouthuysen [19] however overcomes these difficulties. Their treatment involves a unitary transformation which block diagonalizes the Dirac Hamiltonian and eventually splits the Dirac equation into two uncoupled equations of the Pauli type, describing particles in positive- and negative-energy states, respectively. Since the procedure to carry out the

Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation is given below Eq. (5) in the main text, here we only write the intermediate steps for obtaining the coefficients in Eq. (8).

We start with $w\sqrt{(E+mc^2)/2E} = \phi$ as given below Eq. (7) and expanding $E = (p^2c^2 + m^2c^4)^{1/2}$, we obtain,

$$\phi = \left[1 - \frac{p^2}{8m^2c^2} + \frac{11}{128}\frac{p^4}{m^4c^4} - \frac{69}{1024}\frac{p^6}{m^6c^6}...\right] w\,. \tag{A.1}$$

This is the upper component given in Eq. (8). Replacing the above ϕ in Eq. (7) namely,

$$\begin{aligned}\chi &= \frac{1}{2mc}\left[1 + \frac{E_S}{2mc^2}\right]^{-1} \boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\mathbf{p}\,\phi, \\ &= \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\mathbf{p}}{2mc}\Big(1 - \frac{E_S}{2mc^2} + \frac{E_S^2}{4m^2c^4} - \frac{E_S^3}{8m^3c^6} + ...\Big)\,\phi\,,\end{aligned}$$

using the fact that $E_S\, w = \hat{H}\, w$ where,

$$\hat{H} = \frac{p^2}{2m} - \frac{p^4}{8m^3c^2}$$

and replacing accordingly for every $E_S w$ by $[\frac{p^2}{2m} - \frac{p^4}{8m^3c^2}]w$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\chi &= \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\mathbf{p}}{2mc}\left[1 - \frac{p^2}{4m^2c^2} + \frac{p^4}{8m^4c^4} - ...\right]\left[1 - \frac{p^2}{8m^2c^2} + \frac{11}{128}\frac{p^4}{m^4c^4}...\right] w \\ &= \left[1 - \frac{3}{8}\frac{p^2}{m^2c^2} + \frac{31}{128}\frac{p^4}{m^4c^4}...\right]\frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\mathbf{p}}{2mc}\,w\,.\end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

This is the lower component in Eq. (8).

We investigated another method [17, 34] to obtain such an expansion and it was gratifying to find the same coefficients as above. If we begin again with Eq. (7) as the starting point and perform an expansion for χ after noting that E_S is the total energy with rest energy subtracted, χ can be rewritten as,

$$\chi = c\,\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\mathbf{p}\left[\frac{1}{2mc^2} - \frac{p^2}{8m^3c^4} + \frac{p^4}{16m^5c^6} - ....\right]\phi. \tag{A.3}$$

Now noting that the density $\rho = \Psi^*\Psi = |\chi|^2 + |\phi|^2$, we obtain for the density,

$$\rho = |\phi|^2 + c^2\Big[(\mathbf{p}^* A\phi^\dagger)\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}\Big]\Big[\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot(\mathbf{p}A\phi)\Big] \tag{A.4}$$

where A is basically the operator in square brackets in (A.3). This ρ obviously differs from the Schrödinger expression. In order to find the wave equation corresponding to the Schrödinger equation, we must replace ϕ by another function ϕ_{Sch}, for which the time independent

integral would be of the form $\int |\phi_{Sch}|^2 d^3x$ as it should be for the Schrödinger equation. Hence, to obtain the required transformation, we write the condition

$$\int |\phi_{Sch}|^2 d^3x \, = \, \int \Big\{ |\phi|^2 \, + \, c^2 [(\mathbf{p}^* A \phi^\dagger) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}] \, [\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{p} A \phi)] \Big\} \, d^3x \, . \tag{A.5}$$

Integrating the second term by parts and after some lengthy but straightforward algebra, we find that the following expression for ϕ, satisfies the relation in (A.5).

$$\phi = \left[1 - \frac{p^2}{8m^2c^2} + \frac{11}{128} \frac{p^4}{m^4c^4} - \frac{69}{1024} \frac{p^6}{m^6c^6} ... \right] \phi_{Sch} \, , \tag{A.6}$$

This is however the same as Eq. (A.1) for the upper component. Replacing this ϕ in (A.3), obviously leads to the same lower component as in (A.2).

---

[1] G. Breit, Phys. Rev. **34**, 553 (1929); *ibid* **36**, 383 (1930); *ibid* **39**, 616 (1932); J. R. Oppenheimer, Phys. Rev. **35**, 461 (1930).

[2] M. De Sanctis and P. Quintero, Eur. Phys. J. A **46**, 213 (2010); M. De Sanctis, Eur. Phys. J. A **41**, 169 (2009); M. De Sanctis, Central Eur. J. Phys. **12**, 221 (2014).

[3] D. A. Kulikov, R. S. Tutik, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **25**, 447 (2010); *ibid* **23**, 1829 (2008).

[4] V. M. Mostepanenko and I. Yu. Sokolov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **46**, 685 (1987); J. A. Grifols and S. Tortosa, Phys. lett. B **328**, 98 (1994); F. Ferrer and J. A. Grifols, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 096006 (1998); F. Ferrer and M. Nowakowski, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 075009 (1999).

[5] J. A. Grifols, Phys. Lett. B **264**, 149 (1991).

[6] F. Ferrer, J. A. Grifols and M. Nowakowski, Phys. Rev. D **61**, 057304 (2000).

[7] F. Garcia Daza, N. G. Kelkar and M. Nowakowski, J. Phys. G **39** 035103 (2012).

[8] N. G. Kelkar, F. Garcia Daza and M. Nowakowski, Nucl. Phys. B **864**, 382 (2012).

[9] P. E. Bosted et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 3841 (1992); P. E. Bosted, Phys. Rev. C **51**, 409 (1995).

[10] C. F. Perdrisat, V. Punjabi and M. Vanderhaeghen, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **59**, 694 (2007); H. Gao, Eur. Phys. J. Special Topics **198**, 3 (2011); H. Gao, Intl. J. Mod. Phys. A **20**, 1595 (2005).

[11] J. Arrington, Phys. Rev. C **69**, 022201(R) (2004).

[12] P. J. Mohr, B. N. Taylor and D. B. Newell, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 633 (2008); P. J. Mohr, B. N. Taylor and D. B. Newell, Rev. Mod. Phys. **84**, 1527 (2012).

[13] A. Antognini et al., Science **339**, 417 (2013); R. Pohl *et al.*, *Nature* **466**, 213-216 (2010).

[14] I. Sick, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **67**, 473 (2012).

[15] T. Mart and A. Sulaksono, Phys. Rev. C **87**, 025807 (2013).

[16] F. J. Ernst, R. G. Sachs and K. C. Wali, Phys. Rev. **119**, 1105 (1960).

[17] V. B. Berestetskii, E. M. Lifshitz and L. P. Pitaevskii, *Quantum Electrodynamics*, Landau-Lifshitz Course on Theoretical Physics Vol.4, 2nd edition, Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann (2007); H. A. Bethe and E. E. Salpeter *Quantum Mechanics of One- and Two-Electron Atoms*, (Dover, NY, 2008).

[18] N. G. Kelkar, M. Nowakowski and D. Bedoya Fierro, Pramana **83**, 761 (2014).

[19] L. L. Foldy and S. A. Wouthuysen, Phys. Rev. **78**, 29 (1950) ; E. Eriksen, Phys. Rev. **111**, 1011 (1958).

[20] A. J. Silenko, Phys. Part. Nucl. Lett. **5** 501 (2008); V. P. Neznamov and A. J. Silenko, J. Math. Phys. **50**, 122302 (2009).

[21] R. Rosenfelder, Phys. Lett. B **479**, 381 (2000).

[22] L. L. Foldy and D. R. Yennie, Phys. Rev. **113**, 1147 (1959).

[23] J. L. Friar and J. W. Negele, Adv. Nucl. Phys., Vol.8, New York 1975, p.219-376.

[24] J. L. Friar, J. Martorell and D. W. L. Sprung, Phys. Rev. A **56**, 4579 (1997).

[25] D. R. Yennie, M. M. Lévy and D. G. Ravenhall, Rev. Mod. Phys. **29**, 144 (1957).

[26] J. J. Kelly, Phys. Rev. C **66**, 065203 (2002); D. Robson, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E **23** (2014) 0090; M. M. Giannini, E. Santopinto, (preprint, 2013), arXiv:1311.0319.

[27] A. N. Mitra and I. Kumari, Phys. Rev. D **15**, 261 (1977).

[28] A. L. Licht and A. Pagnamenta, Phys. Rev. D **2**, 1156 (1970). ; G. Holzwarth, Z. Phys. A **356**, 339 (1996); G. Holzwarth, hep-ph/0511194.

[29] J. C. Bernauer et al., Phys. Rev. C **90**, 015206 (2014).

[30] A. Beyer et al., J. Phys. Conf. Series **467**, 012003 (2013).

[31] W. M. Alberico, S. M. Bilenky, C. Giunti and K. M. Graczyk, Phys. Rev. C **79**, 065204 (2009).

[32] J. Friedrich and Th. Walcher, Eur. Phys. J. A **17**, 607 (2003).

[33] Z. Epstein, G. Paz and J. Roy, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 074027 (2014), arXiv:1407.5683 [hep-ph].

[34] V. B. Berestetskii and L. D. Landau, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **19**, 673 (1949).